

# CPG Product Data, Packaging Identity, and Retail Execution Playbook

## Cover Page

Industry: Consumer Packaged Goods Document Type: Technical Solution Engineering White Paper Publisher: Kettle Logic Author: Matthew Loschiavo, Founder/CEO, Kettle Logic Editor: Matthew Loschiavo (Editorial Review) Version: v9.0 Published: 2026-02-24 Audience: CTO / VP Engineering / Solution Engineering / Platform / Security / Data

## Document Control

```
| Field | Value |
|---|---|
| Document ID | KL-CPG-TECH-V9 |
| Status | Published |
| Review Cadence | Quarterly or on major regulatory / technology change |
```

## Executive Summary

This paper focuses on Retail syndication readiness and claim governance in Consumer Packaged Goods. The strategy keeps existing enterprise platforms as systems of record while building a governed system of decision for policy checks, scoring, AI assistance, and exception routing. The objective is measurable gains in revenue, cost, and risk reduction with stronger controls and lower future integration cost.

This v9 pass fixes repeated paragraphs and adds concrete artifacts: industry-specific KPI baseline/target ranges, pseudo-code policy rules, and a sample JSON event payload for a key workflow.

## Table of Contents

1. Cover Page
2. Document Control
3. Executive Summary
4. Table of Contents
5. Business Decision Drivers
6. System Landscape Reality Check
7. System of Record vs System of Decision
8. Industry Workflow Focus
9. Industry-Specific KPI Baselines and Targets
10. Executive Strategy (5-Year / 10-Year)
11. Board/CFO Capital Allocation Lens
12. Technology Fit Matrix
13. Solution Architecture / Implementation Playbook
14. Sample Policy Rules (Pseudo-code)
15. Sample JSON Event Payload
16. AI Strategy and Governance
17. Privacy, GDPR, and Data Rights Constraints
18. Risk Register
19. Roadmap and Governance Cadence
20. Glossary
21. References
22. Appendices

## Business Decision Drivers

Businesses make modernization decisions to increase revenue, reduce costs, and reduce risk. Programs also succeed or fail based on speed, resilience, and strategic optionality. A strong white paper translates technology decisions into these business outcomes rather than relying on generic transformation language.

### **Primary motivations**

- Revenue: throughput, conversion, coverage, retention, margin quality
- Cost: labor productivity, defect/rework reduction, dispute handling, runtime efficiency
- Risk: privacy, cyber, fraud, compliance, operational resilience, model risk

### **Additional motivations that often matter**

- Time-to-market and change velocity
- Executive trust in controls and evidence
- Vendor portability and strategic flexibility

### **System Landscape Reality Check**

ERP, CRM, POS, EHR, core admin, MES, SCADA, PIM/PXM/MDM, and WMS are not obsolete just because AI is new. In most organizations they remain the legal or operational source of truth. What changes is where high-speed decisions and policy enforcement should happen.

### **Reality-based strategy**

- Preserve core stability and integrity
- Expose events/APIs and data quality telemetry
- Move decision logic into a governed layer
- Keep privacy and audit evidence attached to workflow decisions

### **System of Record vs System of Decision**

SoR: authoritative transactions, master data, legal history SoD: policy evaluation, AI recommendations, optimization, routing SoX: operator queues, portals, partner APIs, copilots

Separating SoR from SoD reduces blast radius, improves reuse, and creates a practical path for staged capital allocation.

### **Industry Workflow Focus**

Key workflow: Retail syndication readiness and claim governance

In Consumer Packaged Goods, workflow modernization is often framed as a platform gap, but the real bottleneck is unclear thresholds. A stronger approach starts with one workflow, one KPI stack, and one policy owner so teams can prove value without destabilizing core systems.

The practical modernization challenge in Consumer Packaged Goods is not lack of software; it is inconsistent decisions around policy governance. When thresholds, routing rules, and exception ownership vary by team, cycle time and defect costs rise even if all major systems are present.

For Consumer Packaged Goods operators, decision automation becomes useful only when it changes execution behavior. That requires explicit policy traces, queue prioritization, and evidence packets that supervisors can review-not just a dashboard or a model score.

Leaders in Consumer Packaged Goods should evaluate exception routing as a control-and-economics problem. The win condition is not maximum automation; it is faster, safer decisions with measurable improvements in revenue, cost, and risk metrics.

A durable Consumer Packaged Goods strategy for AI-assisted triage avoids two traps: broad core replacement before ROI is proven, and AI-first pilots with weak governance. The recommended pattern is a governed decision layer with clear SoR boundaries, policy versioning, and staged autonomy.

In Consumer Packaged Goods, operating discipline is often framed as a platform gap, but the real bottleneck is missing KPI baselines. A stronger approach starts with one workflow, one KPI stack, and one policy owner so teams can prove value without destabilizing core systems.

The practical modernization challenge in Consumer Packaged Goods is not lack of software; it is inconsistent decisions around portfolio sequencing. When thresholds, routing rules, and exception ownership vary by team, cycle time and defect costs rise even if all major systems are present.

For Consumer Packaged Goods operators, evidence design becomes useful only when it changes execution behavior. That requires explicit policy traces, queue prioritization, and evidence packets that supervisors can review-not just a dashboard or a model score.

Leaders in Consumer Packaged Goods should evaluate queue management as a control-and-economics problem. The win condition is not maximum automation; it is faster, safer decisions with measurable improvements in revenue, cost, and risk metrics.

A durable Consumer Packaged Goods strategy for change control avoids two traps: broad core replacement before ROI is proven, and AI-first pilots with weak governance. The recommended pattern is a governed decision layer with clear SoR boundaries, policy versioning, and staged autonomy.

## Industry-Specific KPI Baselines and Targets

These sample ranges are intended for planning and executive discussion. Final targets should be calibrated using your actual baseline, product/channel mix, and regulatory constraints.

KPI	Typical Baseline Range	Program Target Range	Business Driver
Syndication reject rate	7-25%	2-8%	Revenue / cost
Content completeness score	60-88%	90-99%	Revenue
Promo ROI	0.8x-1.6x	1.4x-2.5x	Revenue / margin
Recall trace speed	4-36 hours	15-120 minutes	Risk
Digital shelf conversion	+0-5%	+8-20%	Revenue

### KPI usage guidance

Use a balanced KPI set. Growth-only programs can quietly increase risk. Risk-only programs can become compliance-heavy and lose support. A monthly review should include at least one KPI from each column: growth, cost, and risk.

## Executive Strategy (5-Year / 10-Year)

### 5-Year plan

Build reusable decision-platform capabilities (policy, workflow, observability, privacy, audit) and apply them to a small set of high-value workflows with visible KPI movement. Avoid broad multi-year replacement programs before workflow-level ROI is proven.

### 10-Year plan

Operate with stable systems of record and fast, governed systems of decision. Use a technology fit matrix to evaluate AI, blockchain, spatial/digital twin, and confidential computing based on workflow fit-not trend pressure.

## Board/CFO Capital Allocation Lens

Treat modernization as a staged investment portfolio. Fund a 90-day proof phase, then a 12-month expansion phase, then platform reuse only when the economics and control evidence are visible.

### Funding questions for executives

1. Which KPI improved and by how much?
2. Which costs were removed vs shifted?
3. What controls are now automated and testable?
4. What reusable assets (policies, contracts, events, runbooks) were created?

## Technology Fit Matrix

Technology Pattern	Use Now / Pilot / Watch	Why	Typical Failure Mode
Data contracts + policy-as-code	Use now	Highest leverage for quality, controls, and reuse	Treated as docs, not enforced in tests
Bounded AI in workflows	Use now (gated)	Speeds triage and evidence assembly	No action classes / weak audit trail
Confidential computing	Pilot selectively	Good for regulated / sensitive collaboration	Added complexity without workflow fit
Spatial / digital twin	Pilot workflow-first	Strong for simulation and planning	Demo-driven instead of KPI-driven

|  
| Blockchain / shared ledger | Pilot selectively | Works for multi-party trust/provenance | Used where internal governance is the issue |  
| PQC / crypto-agility | Plan now | Long-horizon risk reduction | Deferred until emergency migration |

## Solution Architecture / Implementation Playbook

### Reference implementation sequence

1. Baseline KPI and map current exception types
2. Define SoR/SoD boundary for the selected workflow
3. Create a minimal event schema and data contract
4. Implement initial policy rules and evidence logging
5. Add bounded AI (assist/recommend) with approval gating
6. Publish operator runbooks and escalation paths
7. Instrument business + technical + cost telemetry

### Architecture must-haves

- Correlation IDs across all workflow steps
- Policy and model versioning
- Idempotent event handling and replay safety
- Privacy tags and retention controls
- Explainable operator-facing decisions

### Sample Policy Rules (Pseudo-code)

The sample below shows how business thresholds, privacy constraints, and exception routing can be encoded directly in the workflow control plane.

```
RULE CPGClaimAndSyndication
WHEN claim.type in ["organic","sugar_free","allergen_free"]
THEN require substantiation_doc = true
      AND legal_review_status = "approved"

WHEN retailer.feed_profile == "strict" AND content_completeness_score < 95
THEN action = "BlockSyndication"

WHEN barcode.transition_status != "2D-ready" AND market in ["EU","US"]
THEN route_queue = "PackagingReadiness"

WHEN promo.margin_floor_pct < 15
THEN require finance_override = true
```

### Sample JSON Event Payload

This example payload illustrates the minimum structure needed for observability, auditability, and replay-safe workflow processing.

```
{
  "eventType": "SyndicationReadinessEvaluated",
  "eventVersion": "1.0",
  "sku": "CPG-99821",
  "brand": "NorthHarbor",
  "retailer": "Target",
  "contentCompletenessScore": 96,
  "claimTypes": [
    "allergen_free"
  ],
  "substantiationOnFile": true,
  "barcodeStatus": "2D-ready",
  "promoMarginFloorPct": 18,
  "policyVersion": "cpg.synd.v13",
  "decision": "Syndicate",
  "evaluatedAt": "2026-02-24T12:31:22Z",
  "correlationId": "cpg-28f7c1"
}
```

### Event payload design notes

- Include eventVersion, policyVersion, and (if applicable) modelVersion

- Include entity IDs and correlationId
- Prefer references/tags over raw sensitive payloads when possible
- Ensure consumers can handle schema evolution safely

## v10.1 Technical Interface Addendum

### Sample API Endpoints and Request/Response Examples

#### Evaluate

POST /v1/cpg/syndication/evaluate

##### Request

```
{
  "sku": "CPG-99821",
  "retailer": "Target",
  "claimTypes": [
    "allergen_free"
  ],
  "contentCompletenessScore": 96,
  "barcodeStatus": "2D-ready"
}
```

##### Response

```
{
  "decision": "Syndicate",
  "policyVersion": "cpg.synd.v13",
  "warnings": []
}
```

#### Claim Approval

POST /v1/cpg/claims/approve

##### Request

```
{
  "sku": "CPG-99821",
  "claimType": "allergen_free",
  "substantiationDocId": "DOC-8821",
  "approvedBy": "reg_affairs_3"
}
```

##### Response

```
{
  "status": "Approved",
  "effectiveDate": "2026-02-25"
}
```

## SQL and Event Schema Examples

### SQL table (example)

```
CREATE TABLE cpg_syndication_decision (
  decision_id TEXT PRIMARY KEY,
  sku TEXT NOT NULL,
  retailer TEXT NOT NULL,
  content_completeness_score NUMERIC(5,2) NOT NULL,
  barcode_status TEXT,
  decision TEXT NOT NULL,
  policy_version TEXT NOT NULL,
  evaluated_at TIMESTAMPTZ NOT NULL,
  correlation_id TEXT NOT NULL
);
CREATE INDEX idx_cpg_retailer_time ON cpg_syndication_decision(retailer, evaluated_at DESC);
```

### Event schema contract (example)

```
{
  "eventType": "SyndicationReadinessEvaluated",
  "required": [
    "eventType",
    "eventVersion",
    "sku",
    "retailer",
    "decision",
    "policyVersion",
    "evaluatedAt",
  ]
}
```

```

    "correlationId"
  ],
  "optional": [
    "contentCompletenessScore",
    "claimTypes",
    "barcodeStatus",
    "promoMarginFloorPct"
  ]
}

```

## RACI by Industry

Role	RACI	Responsibility
Commercial Data Director	A	Owns syndication quality and speed
Regulatory Affairs	R	Approves claims and substantiation
Digital Shelf Team	R	Fixes content and retailer feed issues
Packaging/GS1 Lead	C	Manages 2D barcode transition readiness
Finance/Trade Spend	C	Owns promo guardrails
CMO/COO	I	Reviews conversion and reject-rate trends

Legend: R = Responsible, A = Accountable, C = Consulted, I = Informed

## AI Strategy and Governance

AI should start in bounded roles: classify, summarize, prioritize, and prepare evidence. Higher-impact actions should remain approval-gated until policy coverage, monitoring, and operator trust are mature.

### AI governance controls

- Action classes (read / recommend / draft / route / approve / execute)
- Confidence thresholds + abstain behavior
- Human review for high-impact decisions
- Drift monitoring + business outcome monitoring
- Fallback paths and incident runbooks

## Privacy, GDPR, and Data Rights Constraints

Privacy is a system design requirement, not a legal appendix. The decision layer must enforce minimization, purpose limitation, retention, and rights handling across raw and derived data, including logs and evidence stores.

### Required controls

- Role- and purpose-based access
- Retention/deletion policies for logs, caches, and derived artifacts
- Data subject / consumer rights workflows where applicable
- Cross-border processing awareness
- Reviewable evidence exports

## Risk Register

Risk	Impact	Control pattern
claim substantiation gaps	Can degrade revenue, cost, or trust outcomes	Policy thresholds + workflow routing + monitoring + review cadence
syndication rejects	Can degrade revenue, cost, or trust outcomes	Policy thresholds + workflow routing + monitoring + review cadence
recall delays	Can degrade revenue, cost, or trust outcomes	Policy thresholds + workflow routing + monitoring + review cadence
trade spend inefficiency	Can degrade revenue, cost, or trust outcomes	Policy thresholds + workflow routing + monitoring + review cadence
trust erosion	Can degrade revenue, cost, or trust outcomes	Policy thresholds + workflow routing + monitoring + review cadence

## Roadmap and Governance Cadence

### First 90 Days

- Establish baseline KPI ranges and workflow ownership
- Implement initial event contract and policy set

- Launch assist/recommend AI mode with evidence logging
- Publish runbooks and escalation matrix

### **12-Month Plan**

- Expand to adjacent workflows using shared patterns
- Add drift/cost telemetry and quarterly fit-matrix reviews
- Standardize policy and contract testing in CI/CD

### **Governance cadence**

- Weekly: queue health, defects, SLA misses, overrides
- Monthly: KPI and business-case review (growth/cost/risk)
- Quarterly: control maturity and technology fit refresh

### **Glossary**

- System of Record (SoR): authoritative operational or legal system
- System of Decision (SoD): policy/AI/workflow layer for governed decisions
- Policy-as-Code: versioned executable business rules
- Data Contract: tested schema and semantics between producers/consumers
- Correlation ID: shared ID used to trace a workflow across systems
- Strategic optionality: reduced future cost of adopting new tools/channels

### **References**

1. GS1 2D barcode migration
2. claim substantiation governance
3. retailer feed profiles
4. digital shelf economics
5. NIST AI RMF
6. NIST Privacy Framework
7. NIST CSF 2.0
8. GDPR legal framework
9. CISA Secure by Design

### **Appendices**

#### **Appendix A: Why this version is more concrete**

This v9 pass includes realistic KPI ranges, domain-specific policy examples, and JSON event payloads so executive strategy and solution engineering can align on something implementable.

#### **Appendix B: Adoption checklist**

- Executive sponsor and workflow owner named
- KPI baseline/targets approved
- Policy owner and review cadence assigned
- Event contract tested
- Privacy controls validated
- Runbooks and fallbacks documented

In Consumer Packaged Goods, operator adoption is often framed as a platform gap, but the real bottleneck is supervisor trust gaps. A stronger approach starts with one workflow, one KPI stack, and one policy owner so teams can prove value without destabilizing core systems.

The practical modernization challenge in Consumer Packaged Goods is not lack of software; it is inconsistent decisions around policy drift. When thresholds, routing rules, and exception ownership vary by team, cycle time and defect costs rise even if all major systems are present.

For Consumer Packaged Goods operators, queue design becomes useful only when it changes execution behavior. That requires explicit policy traces, queue prioritization, and evidence packets that supervisors can review-not just a dashboard or a model score.

Leaders in Consumer Packaged Goods should evaluate runtime economics as a control-and-economics problem. The win condition is not maximum automation; it is faster, safer decisions with measurable improvements in revenue, cost, and risk metrics.

A durable Consumer Packaged Goods strategy for vendor posture avoids two traps: broad core replacement before ROI is proven, and AI-first pilots with weak governance. The recommended pattern is a governed decision layer with clear SoR boundaries, policy versioning, and staged autonomy.

In Consumer Packaged Goods, incident response is often framed as a platform gap, but the real bottleneck is fallback readiness. A stronger approach starts with one workflow, one KPI stack, and one policy owner so teams can prove value without destabilizing core systems.

The practical modernization challenge in Consumer Packaged Goods is not lack of software; it is inconsistent decisions around audit evidence. When thresholds, routing rules, and exception ownership vary by team, cycle time and defect costs rise even if all major systems are present.

For Consumer Packaged Goods operators, portfolio prioritization becomes useful only when it changes execution behavior. That requires explicit policy traces, queue prioritization, and evidence packets that supervisors can review-not just a dashboard or a model score.

Leaders in Consumer Packaged Goods should evaluate change management as a control-and-economics problem. The win condition is not maximum automation; it is faster, safer decisions with measurable improvements in revenue, cost, and risk metrics.

A durable Consumer Packaged Goods strategy for measurement discipline avoids two traps: broad core replacement before ROI is proven, and AI-first pilots with weak governance. The recommended pattern is a governed decision layer with clear SoR boundaries, policy versioning, and staged autonomy.